

**Illinois Council of Health System Pharmacists
Poster Abstract Peer Review Procedure**

Poster Title: _____

Abstract Reviewer: _____

- ☐ Each abstract will be reviewed by at least 2 individuals
- ☐ Each reviewer rates the abstract, using a scale of 0-2 (0=unacceptable; 1=needs improvement; 2=acceptable) for each criterion (see rubric below).
- ☐ If it is research in-progress, then the authors will have only submitted 2 components of the abstract: “Objective” and “Methods”. Write “N/A” rather than giving a score of 0 in the “Results” and “Conclusions/implications” sections
- ☐ Scores of “0” or “1” require an explanation and/or suggestion for change. All comments will be provided to the authors, and should be constructive and appropriate in tone.

General Criteria	0	1	2	Comments
Relevance / Originality	The project does not offer new, timely, or important information OR Project is not relevant to health system practice	The project may offer new, timely, important information; is somewhat relevant to health system practice	The project will offer new, timely, and important information very relevant to health system practice	
Objective	Purpose / objective are not stated	Purpose / objective are stated but not clear	Purpose / objective clearly stated	
Methods (project design, sampling methods, outcome measures, data collection, data analysis)	Methods are not described; most aspects of the methods are inappropriate	Methods are partially described; most aspects of the methods are appropriate	All methods are clearly described and are appropriate for the project	
Results (not applicable for research-in-progress projects)	Results are not reported for the stated objectives	Partial results are presented; results presented are not clearly linked to the objectives	Results/findings, linked to project objectives, are reported	
Conclusions / Implications	Conclusions are not supported by the data presented; Conclusions are inconsistent with project objectives; implications to pharmacy practice are not included	Conclusions are partially supported by the data presented; conclusions are not well aligned with project objectives; implications to pharmacy practice are not clear	Conclusions are supported by data presented; conclusions are aligned with the project objectives; implications to pharmacy practice are included and clearly stated.	